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Abstract—Our project aims to create an interactive experience for our players using motion technology. We give players the ability to use their hands as a remote for a Gyrosphere. SumoRoll is controlled using a Leap Motion Controller. The motions a player’s hand makes above the controller are translated on a laptop and are then sent to the microcontroller which is then transmitted to the Gyrosphere. SumoRoll will move along with the motions of the player’s hand, exactly, which will create an accurate and user-friendly experience.

I. INTRODUCTION

The world of gesturing technology has been increasingly advancing over the years as it becomes more prevalent in Electronics and the realm of Virtual Reality. Gesture recognition technology can be seen as a way for computers to start to understand human body language, thus building a more important bridge between machines and humans, in comparison to older text user interfaces and graphical user interfaces (GUIs). There are several examples today of where this technology has been implemented. One example is in a technology called RealSense by Intel [2]. The technology in its earliest stages was able to perform simple cursory functions on a computer, like moving the cursor and opening apps using the user’s hand motions. RealSense products have since improved significantly to perform depth-sensing in multiple formats and a computer equipped with it is able to sense the environment around them using true stereoscopic 1080p video. Gesturing technology is also prevalent in the gaming universe, the most recognized system being the Kinect Sensor that pairs with Xbox consoles[5]. The technology here differs from others because it reads the motions of the player’s entire body and translates to the video game accordingly. Lastly, in the world of Virtual Reality there has been an addition of hand tracking to many operations; whether gaming, educational, or other entertainment in order to make the experience more realistic for the user.

Doing research in all these technologies made us realize there was one particular similarity; more often than not, the gesture recognition technology was being used to control a digital output, whether that be in a game on a screen, an app in virtual reality or controlling a computer screens with gestures. Of course, all of these uses of the technology are vital in their own merit and they have significantly impacted the space of gesture recognition and its uses, but we want to use gesture recognition a little differently. We want to create a product that is able to use motioning technology to control a physical, mechanical object. The concept isn’t unheard of, but we want to implement it in an entertainment scope. We believe using the intuitive nature of gesture/motion technology we can make a small spherical robot that can be controlled by the motions of a user’s hands in the environment of a two player game. The hopes and aims of our project is to use gesturing technology (which has proven itself to be more versatile than remote control) to create an interactive experience for our users. An experience that will hopefully open the doors to more mechanical, gesture driven technology; whether it be for entertainment or for more practical everyday purposes.

As shown in Table I we list the System Specifications we hope to have to ensure the best quality product for the user. We also list the Systems Requirements we have to fulfill the System Specifications.

Fig. 1 Block Diagram showing the organization of our design
II. DESIGN

A. Overview

Our design project, named SumoRoll, compromises of two motion controlled robotic gyrospheres. Each gyrosphere is controlled by a single player using a leap motion controller and the object of the game is to control your spherical robot to attack the opposing player’s, the first to knock their rivals out of the arena, wins. The idea is simple, but strategy is involved when considering how to most quickly and easily defeat the other player. The key to winning is to build up the speed of your gyrosphere and come in from the correct angle to have the most impact.

The Leap Motion Controller and gyrosphere, connected by wireless Bluetooth connection, make up the main components of our system. The Leap Motion Controller detects hand motions by using three infrared LEDs and two cameras, then streams data to a laptop through an USB 3.0 port. Once the image data is received by the laptop, it gets processed by a motion processing software called Leap Motion Service. The image data is matched with programmed gesture information. The result is sent to a microcontroller which sends the command corresponding to the detected gesture to the Bluetooth receiver in the gyrosphere.

The gyrosphere contains two motors, two wheels, a battery power supply, a printed circuit board with Bluetooth receiver, an outer shell. Upon receiving data via Bluetooth, the data is processed by the pcb which sends signals to the motors which allows the motors to work in the desired direction, which depends on which command the gesture corresponds with. This chain of events is illustrated in our block diagram (Fig. 1). The controller is able to capture motion within the range of a 2 ft radius obtuse half-sphere above the device, which satisfied the range specification.

The motor will be given enough power to achieve the specified speed for gyrosphere, roughly 3 mph. The overall structure of the gyrosphere, excluding the electronic components, will be designed for 3D printing. Through elaborate design and careful selection of components and 3D printing materials, the gyrosphere should weigh less than 2 lb and be around the size of a tennis ball. The Leap Motion Controller is less than 1 lb, and is smaller than a typical cell phone. Portability is achieved through these specifications.

One design alternative is to use a camera to detect hand motions instead of using Leap Motion Controller. By using different colored tags on each fingers, different command can be programmed by using the combination of colored tags. The use of camera for image processing is utilized in the Sixth Sense, a wearable system developed by MIT Media Lab. The Sixth Sense uses a pocket projector to project images to a surface in front of the user, then allows the user to give command or interact with projected image by using a small camera to capture gestures. We chose the Leap Motion Controller over camera with the consideration of delay and accuracy. Also, using the camera would make our system more complex and we would need to write more code compared to using a Leap Motion Controller.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Requirements</th>
<th>System Specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Portable System</td>
<td>Should be lightweight, full system weighing ~3 lbs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Forwards, Reverse, and Rotational Movement</td>
<td>Two motors on either side of gyrosphere to ensure full movement Torque: ~0.307 kg*cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. System Latency</td>
<td>Command response ~250ms from User to gyrosphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. System Speed</td>
<td>Gyrosphere should move at around pedestrian speed, which is about 2 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. System Functionality</td>
<td>Full System should run for at least 15 minutes to ensure quality gameplay Gyrosphere should stop 1 second after stop button is pressed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Touchless User Interface

A major subsystem of the project is concerned with receiving user inputs and processing according to it, so the device chosen should be able to track user’s hand motions during system operations. This is why we chose the Leap Motion Controller [3]. The Leap Motion Controller sensor will be placed in terms of user’s perspective (facing forward of the user) to ensure easy control and avoid incorrect input commands. The touchless user interface includes both software and hardware components. For the hardware components, we require two Leap Motion Controllers for playing the fighting game simulation. Each Leap Motion controller is a 3 x 1.2 x 0.5 inches in dimension and feels no heavier than a standard USB drive. The major component of the device consists of two cameras and three Infrared LEDs. The LEDs generate pattern-less IR light and the cameras generate almost 200 frames per second of reflected data. They work to track infrared light with a wavelength of 850 nm. The sensor has a wide-angle lens which allows the device to have a great interaction area, which is 2 feet in radius obtuse
hemispherical in front of the sensor. To setup the device, it is connected to a laptop via USB cable 3.0. It is compatible with any Mac or Windows with at least 2GB of RAM and an AMD Phenom II or Intel core i3, i5, i7 processor [3]. It is powered by the laptop during operation. Figure 2 shows the layers and inner parts of the Leap Motion Controller and Figure 3 shows the exact dimension of the sensor, which satisfies our specifications. We will consider making the device portable in the future by connecting it to a power source and smaller processing unit. After the device is configured and setup with the laptop, we can move on to the software component of the system. With Orion software and SDK downloaded and install from the official website, we can now install applications and do testing with the leap motion sensors.

Overall the device is quick, lightweight, and meets our expectations. The are few cases when it becomes difficult to focus the pointer and the Leap Motion can lose tracking for a period of time; however, it should not be enough to disrupt gameplay. Some applications we use for testing included Cat Explorer and Paint. More experiments will be conducted to ensure it meet our specification.

The core mission the this subsystem is the integration of hand gesture and control of the Gyrosphere. A program in java script will be written and used to create a connection between the Leap Motion Controller and controlling the Gyrosphere.

The overall system topology (shown is Figure 4) is to translate hand gestures into a series of commands and have it delivered to the Gyrosphere for execution. As the leap motion controller is connected to the laptop which tracks user’s hand gestures, the laptop then connects to the Gyrosphere via Bluetooth. We would like to control the Gyrosphere directly using laptop keyboards, then we will match specific hand gestures to different keys, thus translate the hand gesture into commands that transmit to the Gyrosphere.

Using the product Sphero, a small programmable gyrosphere, we are able to program the gyrosphere and control its movement via Bluetooth and laptop, we aim to transfer the code to the Sphero. We are also working on writing the javascript program for hand gesture translation. The design will based on the open source of using Leap motion controller to control sphero [4].

C. Bluetooth Data Transmission and Receive

The Tx and Rx block will be the connection between the hand gesture to the gyrosphere. The leap motion controller will collects the data from the hand motion and send this data to the gyrosphere through the bluetooth. Bluetooth is a type of wireless communication used to transmit data at high speed using radio waves. To establish this connection we decided to use the HC-05 bluetooth module as our main component. HC-05 that with Operating Voltage: 4V to 6V (Typically +5V)
The Bluetooth module has a range of around 100 meters at a transmit power of 1 m watt and data transfer rate of 3 Mbps, also it has the ability to establish communications between many different types of devices, including mobile phones, computers and other electronics [1]. The Bluetooth transmits and receives at a frequency band of 2.4 GHz. The Bluetooth device uses a IEEE 802 standards wherein the connections can be point-to-point or point-to-multipoint. The default baud rate is 38400 and other supported baud rates are 9600, 19200, 57600, 115200, 230400 and 460800 [1]. Bluetooth can connect up to 8 devices simultaneously. It uses the spread spectrum technology in which each device uses different frequency band and hence the devices do not transmit at same time. When the two devices come in range with each other, the transmission takes place between them. However, in this project there will only be using one Bluetooth HC-05 module connecting to two different devices[6]. One from a smart device (i.e. laptop or phone) connecting to our bluetooth HC-05 module in our gyrosphere.

There are three types of connections in Bluetooth, single slave, multi slave or scatter net. Multiple Bluetooth devices from a piconet network [1]. A piconet consists of one hub device along with seven client devices, and a piconet network is a wireless personal area network [1]. Figure 6 shows the topology for the Bluetooth Network and sometimes a master in one piconet may be slave in another piconet. In order to transmit or receive information with the client it should be in active mode. In a scatter net, the time and frequency of the two piconets are not synchronized [1]. Each of them operates in its own frequency band, multiple piconets can work simultaneously using frequency division multiplexing.

HC-05 Bluetooth can be easily interfaced with Arduino mega board, which has been demonstrated in our MDR. Demonstration of an Android device controlling two motor using Arduino and HC-05 Bluetooth module.

The Arduino was coded to the L293D Motor Driver, which is connected to two motors. L293D contains two inbuilt H-bridge driver circuits. In its common mode of operation, two DC motors can be driven simultaneously, both in forward and reverse direction. The Android mobile device (Fig 8) was paired up with the HC-05 Bluetooth module and it sent commands to the Motor Driver via Bluetooth signal. By sending the data from the mobile device to the Android through the Bluetooth module, the motors are successfully controlled. The result showed the connection from mobile device to the motor was successfully made by using the Bluetooth module. Therefore by replacing the data from mobile device with the data from the leap motion controller, then the direction of the gyrosphere will be
under our control.

D. Printed Circuit Board (PCB)

The PCB was created to minimize the space inside the gyrosphere, by creating a PCB we can get rid of the Arduino, the breadboard, and all the small wires. Our PCB was created using the software “Altium”, the dimension of the PCB is 30.48 mm x 40.18 mm. Figure 9 shows the 2D layout view of the PCB. This PCB consisted of 6 layers, the red color components are all the components on the first layer and the blue color components are all the components on the last layer. The 4 layers in between the first and last layers are Vcc layer, ground layer, top signal layer, and bottom signal layer.

The two main parts in the PCB are the DIY Arduino on the left side of the PCB and the L293D motor driver on the right. Figure 10 shows the 3D layout view of the PCB front and back. The Arduino design was created by using the schematic of the Arduino Nano, there are few main components include Universal Asynchronous Receiver & Transmitter, ATMega328, and LEDs. The PCB also have many header pins that can help us organizing the wires.

E. Structural Design

We would like to have our own gyrosphere, therefore we need to come up with our own idea of the structure design for the gyrosphere. Before we started the design of our own gyrosphere, we did some research on any similar project that are open sourced. We were able to find several projects that made larger gyrospheres than we had in mind for our design, however, we were able to draw inspiration from these other project to make in our own design.

Fig. 11 Inside structure of a BB 8 sphero toy

Fig. 11 show the inside structure of a small BB 8 Sphero Toy. It has magnet and two roller on top, two wheels and center of mass at the bottom. This toy is very close to what we have in our mind, so we begin our design with inspiration of this structure.

Fig. 12 Overall view of the structure design

Instead of having a circular structure inside, we would like it to be a cube. Fig 12 is the structure design of our gyrscope. The cube in the center is 3x3x3 in, and will have two shafts coming out on either side of the cube that are connecting the wheels and motor. The outer sphere has a radius of 3in. There will be battery pack on the lowest level, it will be very easy to change the battery if it need it. The battery packs also serve as the center of mass, it will help to prevent the cube structure from rolling, and the structure will have no contact to the outer sphere. The outer sphere will ideally be covered with a rubber coating to ensure protection of the sphere during collision and also help there to be a rebound effect.
program the L293D to control our two motors simultaneously as we see fit.

Fig. 15 Pin Connections

The Enable pins on each side of the motor driver work to turn on the corresponding side of the chip. This means when one enable is on HIGH than the corresponding motor on that side will be able to receive given commands. The Input pins are used to make current flow through the output, when it is in HIGH state on Arduino. The two output pins are connected to either ends of the motor and depending on which Input pin (1/2, 3/4) is set HIGH it will send current in a one output or another, dictating the direction of the wheel. When both Input 1 and 4 are HIGH the motors spin forward. When the Input pins 2 and 3 are high the motors spin in reverse. Pin 8 or VCC 2 is the voltage supplied to the motors and Pin 16 or VCC 1 is the power source to the IC, which is set to be 5V. We also supplied our motors with 5V.

Fig. 16 150:1 Micro Metal Gearmotor HPCB 6V.

The motor we use for our custom Gyrosphere are 150:1 Micro Metal Gearmotor HPCB 6V. It is 10x12x26 mm and weight 9.5g. The no-load speed at 6V is 220 rpm and have stall torque of 2.0 kg*cm. The torque the motor provide is much stronger than what we calculated, therefore it should fulfill our need.

F. Motor Driver & Motor

The Motor Driver chip we used in our MDR Demonstration of our Project was L293D. The motor driver acted as an interface between our motors and microcontroller. For our project we used the Arduino SparkFun RedBoard to
G. Plan B: Motion Control Sphero

In Figure 17, we have shown two types of mechanisms of driving the Sphero. Advantages and disadvantages of the two are summarized in Table II below.

**Fig. 17 Two types of motion control mechanisms programmed, swiping mechanism and hand positioning mechanism.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Controlling Mechanism</th>
<th>Main Advantages</th>
<th>Main Disadvantages</th>
<th>Coding Difficulty</th>
<th>Latency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Swiping with a hand</td>
<td>Preferred by users, players tend to do swipes when they are controlling the Sphero.</td>
<td>Error prone in coding since we must calculate frames, and instructions can be misinterpreted.</td>
<td>High, frame data must be calculated to have directions clearly defined.</td>
<td>Latency grows as the instruction buffer grows. 1s-5s delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative hand positioning</td>
<td>Simple, reliable control, hard for users to make mistakes by sending wrong commands. Less fun to control since only thing you must do is moving your hand above the sensor.</td>
<td>Low, ranges need to be set, and tests must be conducted.</td>
<td>Able to empty the buffer when hand is placed at the center above the sensor.</td>
<td>&lt; 1s delay</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. Summary of the two controlling mechanisms

Due to the high delays in the old methods of controlling the Sphero, we have made several changes to the code to improve the performance. We discovered that the fundamental issue we encountered all along was due to the accumulation of the instructions in the buffer. The Sphero blindly accepts instructions from user and executes them one by one. Upcoming instructions are held in the queue until previous is done. This led to several problems and does not provide a good interactive experience for our players. After researches and discussions at team meetings, we decided to make a fundamental change to the way we are controlling the Sphero. The swiping mechanism was employed because it is merely an instinctive act for users to control the sphere. Therefore, the new mechanism must remain simple and easy to understand. The new solution we came up with is the hand positioning detection mechanism. User must place their hand above the sensor, and like a keyboard, different location above the sensor will represent different directions apply on the Sphero.

For our demo on the Demo Day, we decided to make a maze game for our Sphero and Gyrosphere since we are not able to obtain a second Sphero due to limited budget. We purchased wood pieces from Home Depot and crafted our maze in M5. The player should control the Sphero to finish the maze as fast as possible. With our modification of the Sphero, our demo went well. The only issue on Demo Day was that a fully charged Sphero can only be used for about one hour. We had to charge it for about half an hour every time it ran out of charge, so some visitors didn’t get to see our demo.

III. Project Management

In this section we want to introduce our FPR Deliverables shown in Table III. As shown, we had 3 deliverables and were able to complete two of them and partially complete one. Table III goes into more details about the specificity of our deliverables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FPR Goal</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fully constructed Gyrosphere system that can perform forward, backwards, left, right and Stop</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failsafe has been implemented in both system</td>
<td>Plan B had failsafe implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion Control revised for reliability</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From MDR onward our future plans of our design project were evenly split amongst each team member. Each of us focused on our time on our given tasks. When it came to giving tasks we took into consideration the majors of each of our members and assigned them tasks according to their level of expertise in the matter; however, there were also tasks that we all took part in in an effort to understand all aspects of our design project.

IV. Conclusion

By MDR time we made good progress on three main parts of our system: the setup and programming with Leap Motion Controller, the overall design of the gyrosphere, and the wireless Bluetooth module involving a microcontroller, two motors, and a Bluetooth device that sends direction commands to the microcontroller which make motors to turn in the desired direction. These accomplishments allowed us to make a step closer to the full prototype of our designed system.

By FPR, we focused on establishing stable connection between the three parts that we have been working on. Our aim was to have the Bluetooth module be integrated into the gyrosphere structure, allowing connection between the gyrosphere and the Leap Motion Controller. However, things didn't go exactly to plan with the restrictions of time and budget. By Demo Day, as we explained above, Plan B was created after MDR to take into account us not reaching a fully functioning custom gyrosphere controlled via Leap Motion Controller.

What we ended up having for Demo Day was a two part project that in an ideal world would have been combined to create our final product. Part one of our project included a Custom Built Gyrosphere (Figure 14). This Custom Gyrosphere was fully functioning and was able to be controlled using an Android Application (Figure 8), moving in the forward and backwards direction, and turning 90 degrees right and left.

The second part of our project was using the Leap Motion Controller to control via motion control an already existing gyrosphere in the market, Sphero. The control mechanisms are explained under our Plan B section and Figure 17 explains the controls. Given more time we would have loved to connect these two properties together by taking the Leap Motion Controller and connecting it to the Bluetooth Module in our own Custom Gyrosphere. Fortunately, Plan B was there so for Demo Day we could show Motion Control being implemented on a physical, mechanical object as was the main objective of our SDP. We also had our Custom Built Gyrosphere on Demo.

APPENDIX

A. Application of Engineering

There are many areas of math, science, and engineering that apply to SumoRoll, most notably we took from structural engineering, electronics, circuit analysis, as well as, computer systems and signal and systems.

For our software development portion of our Project the server, databases and Android development were all done in Java, while the software for the Arduino and Bluetooth control are written in the Arduino IDE using C++ and later for our PCB we coded using C. We have exposure to these programming languages through the coursework in ECE 122, ECE 242, ECE323/4, and ECE 353/4. We also had a mechanical engineering student on our Team who had a background in MechE coursework. Examples of classes that were useful to him in this project were MIE 313, MIE 201 and ECE 361. MIE 201 is Introduction to Material Science, MIE 313 is Design of Mechanical Components, and ECE 361 was Fundamentals of Electrical Engineering (designed for non-ECE students). All of these Courses were helpful when it came to designing the outer structure of our Custom Gyrosphere, as well the inner mechanics.

B. SumoRoll Cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Development Price</th>
<th>Production Price (1000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sphero</td>
<td>$94.99</td>
<td>$94.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9V Alkaline Batteries</td>
<td>$1.75 X 10 = $17.50</td>
<td>$1.11 X 10 = $11.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Motors</td>
<td>$36.00</td>
<td>$26.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic shell</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB + Components</td>
<td>$44.40</td>
<td>$20.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D Printing</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leap motion controller</td>
<td>$79.99</td>
<td>$79.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>Custom Design:</strong> $207.89</td>
<td><strong>Custom Design:</strong> $143.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Plan B:</strong> $174.98</td>
<td><strong>Plan B:</strong> $174.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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