Health Risks from Backpack Misuse

- Misuse of backpacks
  - Improper pack positioning
  - Overloading pack
- +7,000 E.R visits annually
- \( \frac{1}{3} \) of 6th graders carry +30% of body weight
- Health Risks include:
  - Vertebral subluxation including herniation
  - Shoulder/neck stress
Brief Overview of Our solution

EquiPack provides a sensor network integrated into a backpack. Embedded hardware relays the sensor data to a mobile app, which provides a UI for displaying feedback on how to adjust the backpack to minimize health risks.
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Proposed MDR Deliverables

- Weight sensor network converting physical force to a measurable signal
- Functional software Weight Distribution Model
- App UI interface w/ BLE sending and retrieving “data”
- First pass PCB design
- μController interfaced with:
  - Bluetooth transceiver module
  - Power systems
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Proposed CDR Deliverables

- Demonstration of Complete System Functionality
  - show integration between all subsystems
  - Show implementation of a battery powered system
  - Mobile application has UI elements to display feedback
    - BLE
    - Text Alerts
  - Show backpack can provide all core functions
Weight Sensors

- Prior Requirements:
  - 0-100lb weight range
  - 1lb granularity
  - environmentally insensitive

- Updated Requirements:
  - Same as previously stated with the additions of: Robust wiring, insensitivity to wiring contacts
Weight Sensors: Completed Tasks

- I did tests to examine range, sensitivity, and repeatability of various sensor configurations
- Strain gauge and capacitive sensors had little change in physical properties
- Piezo sensors would not work easily for static measurements

Source: http://www.ndsu.edu/pubweb/~braaten/research.html
Weight Sensors

- Conductive foam was picked for final sensor
  - Cheap
  - Easily Manufactured
- Current work has been to get clean readings from foam
  - Foam is sensitive to the contacts made
  - Foam can be modeled as an RC network
Weight Sensors

Example:
Values are noticeably different (~10mV/lb) for 1 lb increments. Measurements also return back to their initial conditions.

This will be demonstrated live at the end of the presentation.
Weight Sensors: Schematic Diagram

R1 = 450K
R2 = 300K
R3 = 680k
C1 = 33nF
Opamp LM324N
Vcc = 5V

\[ H(S) = \frac{R_1 + R_2 + \frac{R_1 R_2 C S}{R_1 R_2 C S + R_2}}{R_1 R_2 C S + R_2} \]

P_Diss = 687.50 mW per sensor
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Weight Sensors: PCB Layout
Weight Sensors: Contact

Contacts made with inserted wire:

Contacts made with screws and washers:
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Weight Sensors: Contact

The measurement to the left is nonsensical as resistance, and consequently voltage should increase!

- Contacts made with inserted wire:
- Contacts made with screws and washers:
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The foam acts as an RC network and so requires time to settle into a steady state value.

Fit line is of the form $A^*(\exp(-B^*t))+C$ in this case $A$ is the initial value, $b$ is $1/RC$ and $C$ is the steady state value.
The foam behaves as an RC network. The values of R and C change with physical dimensions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>1/RC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4x1.5cm</td>
<td>0.4106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x1cm</td>
<td>0.1089</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Previously seen

4x1.5cm

2x1cm
Weight Analytics

- Subsystem Goals:
  - Determine Center of Mass
  - Determine Total Weight
  - Verify sensor locations
  - Determine algorithm for strap adjustments

- Challenges
  - Verifying algorithms without being able to physically modify the system
Weight Analytics

- Uses for analytics
  - Center of Mass determines forward lean. can be set to a threshold to prevent spine problems. utilizes the back and lower strap sensors
  - users will be recommended to only carry a percentage of their body weight from the total weight. utilizes the shoulder straps.
  - Strap adjustments aim to decrease the use pressure sensors on shoulders

Colin Morrisseau
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Weight Analytics: Center of Mass

- By using pressure sensors along the back, we can determine the reactionary force that the backpack exerts at its surfaces.
- These forces on the back come from the backpacks pull from gravity and the fixed point of the pack at the top of the shoulder.
- If we assume all mass is located at the center of mass (an untrue but necessary assumption), we can determine the y-plane the center of mass is located on.
- Verified equation using bullet physics engine in Blender

**Final Equation:**

\[ R \cos(\theta) = \frac{L^3}{2m g} \left( \frac{p_1}{r_1^2} + \frac{p_2}{r_2^2} \right) + A \]

A is a constant determined by strap tension

\[ \text{torque} = mRg \sin(\theta) \]
The Optimization algorithm is based off of a minimization function for the strap pressure on the shoulders.

- Strap location can be determined by the maximum force on the upper or lower shoulder sensors. (exact ratio requires physical testing)
- Left/Right symmetry is chosen by deciding whether the left and right sides are balanced and adjusts straps accordingly.

**Algorithm**

```plaintext
while( abs(left - right) > minimum balance threshold )
    loosen higher pressure strap until equal;
//determine strap location by checking pressure on shoulders
if lower strap sensors < upper strap sensors
    set strap location to high
else set strap location to low

while(max(shoulder pressure at t+1) < max(pressure on shoulder)) at t)
    if strap location == low
        tighten both straps
    else loosen both straps
    if any strap is above a safety threshold
        loosen both straps
    break;
```
Weight Analytics: Total Weight

- To determine total weight an additional sensor connected to the strap is required
- System can be thought of as a simple pulley because the mass is all in one location and friction is negligible
- Weight is two times the strap measurement
- Verified by taking apart a luggage scale and inserting it in between the straps

Test load cell taken from luggage scale
μController and Broadcast

- Previous requirements:
  - Low Power (10mA draw)
  - More than 8 ADCs
  - Bluetooth Module Implements Full BLE Gatt Server

- Hardware Choices Review
  - LPC824M from NXP Semiconductors (μController)
  - NRF8001 from Nordic Semiconductor (BLE Module)
μController and Broadcast

- Bluetooth-μController Communication
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µController and Broadcast

▪ Challenges Faced
  ▪ Challenge: Low Priority ADC interrupt not firing during BLE communication
    ▪ Solution: *Interrupt Active Assert Register* (IAAR) is checked until interrupt state becomes active
  ▪ Challenge: Digital Outputs could not drive pins on external Bluetooth Module
    ▪ Solution: use digital output to drive non-inverting buffer, which in turn drives Module’s pins
μController and Broadcast

- Demonstration
  - To Demonstrate the functionality of the μController and the Bluetooth Module, we will show the BLE peripheral pair and connect with the Android App, and perform two operations:
    - Echo User Input
    - Stream raw sensor data from the μController to the Phone
Waterproofing Options

- **NeverWet Hydrophobic Coating (Rustolium)**
  - Light, less bulky/easier to repair than epoxy
  - Potentially better heat dissipation
- **Epoxy**
  - More waterproof
  - Hard to perform repairs once coated
- **Silicone and other rubberized coatings**
- **Shrink Tubing**: provide additional protection around wires and solder joints

Image Source: http://www.rustoleumspraypaint.com/neverwet-faqs/
Mobile Application

- Prior Requirements
  - Secure data storage & transfer
  - Intuitive UI
  - Bluetooth Low Energy
  - Send text alerts

- Additional Requirements:
  - Expandable code base
  - Persistent customizable preferences
  - Reliability (error catching)

- Requirements Achieved
  - Secure data storage
  - Core interface/navigation for intuitive UI
  - Implementation of BLE stack
  - Persistent preferences
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Mobile Application: Challenges

- **First major challenge:**
  - Using the Android Bluetooth API and protocol
  - Un-thrown exceptions within the stack
  - Solution: Error handling and better understanding of how the bluetooth stack works.

- **Second Major Challenge:**
  - Implementing the UI in such a way that sections of the UI can be reused, and all parts of the UI can talk to one main
  - Solution: Using a fragment-activity approach rather than a view-activity approach.
Mobile Application: Design choices

▪ Activity:
  • Can be thought of as a “main”
  • Provides a screen that the user can interact with
  • Using one activity since all content is tightly bound internally

▪ Fragments vs. Views:
  • Represents a portion of the UI and it’s behavior
  • Added or removed while activity is running
  • Better use of screen real estate on large devices

Figure 1. An example of how two UI modules defined by fragments can be combined into one activity for a tablet design, but separated for a handset design.

Image Source: developer.android.com
Mobile Application: Design choices

- Why pick Navigation Drawer as top-level navigation?
  - Suggested by Google if app has:
    - +3 top-level views (Can be used with Fragments)
    - Views are not directly related to one another (from the user’s perspective)

- Preferences
  - Enable me as a developer to implement a security protocol
  - Can enter unique data about the user’s pack
  - Preferences persist over multiple lifecycles of the app

Navigating with a Navigation Drawer

The user can open the drawer panel by touching the navigation drawer indicator.

Source: android.developer.com
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Advisor: Prof. Salthouse
Mobile Application: Demonstration

- Top-level navigation can be performed through the Navigation Drawer.
- App preferences are persistent. (i.e.) If changed, it will be restored the next time the app is run.
- BLE is Integrated with the embedded system:
  - Can poll the GATT server for ADC values
  - Can write to preferred characteristics
  - Expand to implement security handshake.
BLE state machine

---
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# Timeline/Schedule: Integration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Zach</th>
<th>Colin</th>
<th>Alex</th>
<th>Brenton</th>
<th>Integration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>Sensor Housing</td>
<td>Verify Models For Physical Sensors</td>
<td>PCB Design</td>
<td>UI Features</td>
<td>Weight Sensors with MCU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>Finish Sensor Module</td>
<td>Design Curve Fitting for input Data</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>Send Alert Text</td>
<td>Security Protocol between BLE module and App</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>2nd Pass PCB</td>
<td>Develop Java API for use in App</td>
<td>Selective Pairing Support</td>
<td>Develop API</td>
<td>PCB Designs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>Power Systems</td>
<td>Control Battery &amp; Power Systems</td>
<td>Power Saving Modes</td>
<td>App with W.A. API</td>
<td>App with W.A. API</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2nd Pass PCB</td>
<td></td>
<td>All Subsystems into Back Pack</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Conclusion

- Questions?
Timeline/Schedule: Zach

- **December**: Sensor housing built to handle weight requirement. Start to integrate with microcontroller.
- **January**: Finish weight sensor module. Continue with microcontroller integration.
- **February**: Begin power systems work. Begin 2nd pass PCB if required.
- **March**: Begin integration power systems and sensors into bag.
- **April**: Final debugging and integration
Timeline/Schedule: Colin

- **December**: verify models with physical sensors
- **January**: design curve fitting algorithm to speed up the response time of the sensors
- **February**: continue previous as necessary
- **March**: develop API for digital implementation in the smartphone app
- **April**: final debugging and integration
Timeline/Schedule: Alexander

- **December**: Integrate μController PCB Design with Weight Sensor PCB design
- **January**: Keep track of Various Phones, integrate with NVM. Implement top-level encrypted communication with Android Phone
- **February**: Work On 2nd Pass PCB Design. Start Using Power-saving functionality on μController and BLE module to ensure optimal sleep schedule
- **March**: Begin integration into Bag; begin using battery for power
- **April**: Debugging and stability enhancements
December: More error handling, Start adding basic UI features
January: Continue adding basic UI features, Sent text message to remote device upon a given condition. Implement top-level encrypted communication with μController
February: Enhance appearance of UI features, Finish sending text message ensure solid stability of current features. Begin API as required features become defined.
March: API for interfacing with the UI elements to display equipack calculations
April: Defect/stability fixes, finish any tasks that have rolled over